

Exploring the evidence for use of cefiderocol as part of combination therapies: a systematic review and meta-analysis of in vitro, in vivo, and clinical studies

Marco Meroi, Juan Antonio del Castillo Polo, Rebecca Scardellato, Alessandra Nazeri, Renata Da Costa, Laura Piddock, Jennifer Cohn, Evelina Tacconelli, Matteo Morra, Elda Righi

REVIEW TITLE AND BASIC DETAILS

Review title

Exploring the evidence for use of cefiderocol as part of combination therapies: a systematic review and meta-analysis of in vitro, in vivo, and clinical studies

Condition or domain being studied

Cefiderocol; Combination Therapy; Infection Due To Carbapenem Resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* (CRAB); Infection Due To Carbapenem Resistant Enterobacterales (CRE); Infection due to difficult-to-treat *Pseudomonas Aeruginosa* (DTR-PA)

Rationale for the review

Cefiderocol is increasingly used for the treatment of infections caused by carbapenem Gram-negative bacteria, yet there is substantial uncertainty regarding when and how it should be combined with other antimicrobial agents. Combination therapy is frequently adopted in clinical practice—particularly for CRAB and metallo-β-lactamase-producing organisms—despite limited and heterogeneous supporting evidence. Existing data are fragmented across *in vitro* synergy studies, *in vivo* experimental models, and observational clinical cohorts, with no integrated synthesis across translational and clinical domains.

Review objectives

To determine whether cefiderocol-based combination therapy improves clinical outcomes compared with cefiderocol monotherapy in patients with infections caused by carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacteria.

Keywords

Cefiderocol; Combination therapy; *In vitro* studies; *In vivo* studies; Clinical studies

Participant countries

Italy; Spain; Switzerland

ELIGIBILITY CRITERIA

Population

Patients with infections caused by multi drug resistant Gram-negative bacteria (MDR-GNB).

Additionally, experimental *in vitro* and *in vivo* models involving MDR-GNB

Intervention(s) or exposure(s)

Cefiderocol-based combination therapy, defined as cefiderocol administered together with any companion antimicrobial or adjuvant agent

Comparator(s) or control(s)

Cefiderocol monotherapy

Study design

Both randomized and nonrandomized study types will be included.

Context

All patient populations and infection types are eligible. Preclinical studies using any validated synergy-testing methodology are included

TIMELINE OF THE REVIEW

Review timeline

Start date: 1 July 2025. End date: 1 January 2026.

SEARCHING AND SCREENING

Search for unpublished studies

Both published and unpublished studies will be sought.

Main bibliographic databases that will be searched

The main databases to be searched are *MEDLINE/PubMed*. Additionally, ESCMID library and Open Forum Infectious Diseases website will be screened for abstract retrieval.

Search language restrictions

The review will only include studies published in English.

Search date restrictions

Databases will be searched for articles published from 1 January 2015 and before 31 January 2025.

Other methods of identifying studies

Other studies will be identified by backward citation searching.

Search strategy

“cefiderocol[tw]” or “S-649266[tw]”

Selection process

Studies will be screened independently by at least two people (or person/machine combination) with a process to resolve differences.

DATA COLLECTION PROCESS

Data extraction from published articles and reports

Data will be extracted by one person (or a machine) and checked by at least one other person (or machine).

Authors will not be contacted for further information.

Study risk of bias or quality assessment

Risk of bias will be assessed using: *Cochrane RoB-2* and *Newcastle-Ottawa*

Data will be assessed by one person and checked by at least one other person.

Additional information will **not** be sought from study investigators if required information is unclear or unavailable in the study publications/reports.

Reporting bias assessment

Risk of bias due to missing results will not be assessed

OUTCOMES TO BE ANALYSED

Main outcomes

For clinical studies, the outcomes analysed will include 30-day all-cause mortality, as well as clinical cure and microbiological cure in patients treated with cefiderocol combination therapy compared with cefiderocol monotherapy.

For *in vitro* studies, the main outcomes will include measures of antibacterial activity such as synergistic effects, bacterial killing, and changes in minimum inhibitory concentrations.

For *in vivo* studies, outcomes will be summarised narratively and will include treatment efficacy in experimental infection models, such as survival, bacterial burden reduction, and other relevant microbiological or pathological endpoints.

PLANNED DATA SYNTHESIS

Strategy for data synthesis

In vitro and *in vivo* studies will be summarised narratively, and their main findings will be described and critically commented on.

For clinical studies effect sizes will be calculated as odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). When available, adjusted effect sizes will be pooled using the inverse variance method. Heterogeneity across studies will be assessed using the Chi-squared test and the I^2 statistic. A subgroup analysis will be performed based on the type of infection, distinguishing between CRAB infections only and mixed MDR infections.

Only clinical studies with more than 25 patients will be included in the meta-analysis. Case series will be excluded.

CURRENT REVIEW STAGE

Stage of the review at this submission

Review stage	Started	Completed
Pilot work	✓	✓
Formal searching/study identification	✓	✓
Screening search results against inclusion criteria	✓	✓
Data extraction or receipt of IPD	✓	✓
Risk of bias/quality assessment	✓	✓
Data synthesis	✓	✓

Review status

The review is currently ongoing.

Publication of review results

Results of the review will be published in English.

REVIEW AFFILIATION, FUNDING AND PEER REVIEW

Review team members

Dr Marco Meroi. University of Verona, Department of Diagnostics and Public Health. Italy.

No conflict of interest declared.

Dr Juan Antonio del Castillo Polo. Hospital Ramón y Cajal. Spain.

No conflict of interest declared.

Rebecca Scardellato. University of Verona, Department of Diagnostics and Public Health. Italy.

No conflict of interest declared.

Dr Alessandra Nazeri. University of Verona, Department of Diagnostics and Public Health. Italy.

No conflict of interest declared.

Dr Renata Da Costa. Global Antibiotic Research and Development Partnership. Switzerland.

No conflict of interest declared.

Dr Laura Piddock. Global Antibiotic Research and Development Partnership. Switzerland.

No conflict of interest declared.

Dr Jennifer Cohn. Global Antibiotic Research and Development Partnership. Switzerland.

No conflict of interest declared.

Dr Evelina Tacconelli. University of Verona, Department of Diagnostics and Public Health. Italy.

No conflict of interest declared.

Dr Matteo Morra (review guarantor and contact) ORCID: 0000-0002-1684-0261. University of Verona. Italy.

No conflict of interest declared.

Dr Elda Righi. University of Verona, Department of Diagnostics and Public Health. Italy.

No conflict of interest declared.

Named contact

Dr Matteo Morra (matteo.morra@univr.it). ORCID: 0000-0002-1684-0261. University of Verona. Italy.

Review affiliation

University of Verona, Department of Diagnostics and Public Health

Funding source

Additional non-commercial funding information

Global Antibiotic Research and Development Partnership (GARDP)

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Review conflict of interest

No conflict interests are recorded for this review.